

**Table 4 The Chi-Square Calculations for Table 1, Table 2, and Table 3**

Table 1 Chi-Square: Candidates and Elected Public Officials (All Offices) Investigated by the Bush Justice Department Identified by Political Party Affiliation 2001 thru 2006				
	Democrat	Republican	Independent	Total
Observed Frequency	298	067	010	375
(Observed Percentage)	(79.47)	(17.87)	(2.66)	(100)
Expected Frequency	188	154	034	376
(Expected Percentage)	(50)	(41)	(09)	(100)
Total	486	221	44	751

Degrees of freedom: 2; Chi-square = 72.2356937300747; *p* is less than or equal to 0.0001. The distribution is highly significant.

As is indicated by Table 1, across the nation from 2001 through 2006 the Bush Justice Department investigated Democratic office holders and candidates at a rate more than four times greater (nearly 80% to 18%) than they investigated Republican office holders and seekers. This was so even though, throughout the nation, Democrat elected officials outnumber Republican elected officials at the rate of only 50% to 41%. Nine percent of elected officials are Independent/Other.

We believe that this tremendous disparity is politically motivated and it occurs because the local (non-state-wide and non-Congressional) investigations occur under the radar of a diligent national press. Each instance is treated by a local beat reporter as an isolated case that is only of local interest. The real Pulitzer Prize-winning story is the extent of the politicization of Justice Department investigations and/or indictments of local elected and office-seeking Democrats *vis-a-vis* their Republican counterparts across the nation.

Table 2a Chi-Square: State-Wide and Federal (Congress and Senate) Officials Investigated by the Bush Justice Department Identified by Political Party Affiliation 2001 through 2006				
	Democratic	Republican	Independent	Total
Observed Frequency	036	030	000	66
Expected Frequency	033	027	006	66
Total	69	57	6	132

Degrees of freedom: 2; Chi-square = 6.2883295194508; *p* is less than or equal to 0.05. The distribution is significant.

Table 2a indicates that the differences in the observed versus expected distribution are significant. However, we know from our familiarity with the Chi-Square statistic that the absence of Independent officials in the observation left us with a cell containing only zero, which always makes the Chi-Square

statistic appear significant. To counter this false reading, we compared the presence of just Democratic officials to Republican officials. The results appear in Table 2b, below:

	Democratic	Republican	Total
Observed Frequency	036	030	66
Observed Percentage	(54.55)	(44.45)	(100)
Expected Freq.	33	27	60
Expected Percent	(50)	(41)	(91*)
Total	69	57	126

Again, 9% of the Expected Sample size is missing because there were no reported investigations of Independent State-Wide or Independent Congress Elected officials and candidates. Thus, only Democrats and Republicans are compared. Degrees of freedom: 1. Chi-square = 0.00262117744955278. For significance at the .05 level, chi-square should be greater than or equal to 3.84. The distribution is not significant;  $p$  is less than or equal to 1.

As Table 2b indicates, there is not a significant difference between the number of federal investigations of State-wide and federal elected officials and what would be expected given the representation of Democratic and Republican Office-holders in the population.

We believe that because the investigations of state-wide and federal elected officials and candidates occurred within the radar of the national press, there was little room for nefarious, out-of-line investigations for political purposes on the part of the Bush Justice Department. As can be seen from Table 3 data, below, however, there are marked differences in the disproportion of investigations of Democratic elected officials and candidates at the local level.

Table 3 Chi-Square: Only Local Public Elected Officials (Non-Congress and Non State-Wide) Investigated by the Bush Justice Department

Identified by Political Party Affiliation  
2001 thru 2006

	Democrat	Republican	Independent/Other	Total
Observed Frequency	262	037	010	309
(Observed Percentage)	(84.79)	(11.97)	(3.24)	(100)
Expected Frequency	155	127	028	310
(Expected Percentage)	(50)	(41)	(09)	(100)
Total	417	164	38	619

Degrees of freedom: 2; Chi-square = 85.3708024812415;  $p$  is less than or equal to 0.0001. The distribution is highly significant.

From 2001 through 2006 the Bush Justice Department investigated elected Democratic office holders and office seekers locally (non-state-wide and non federal offices) at a rate more than seven times greater (nearly 85% to 12 %) than they investigated local Republican elected office holders and seekers. This was so even though, throughout the nation, Democrat elected officials outnumber Republican elected officials at the rate of only 50% to 41%. Nine percent of elected officials are Independent/Other.

Such an investigatory rate constitutes Democrat Political Profiling and that rate exceeds the national statistics for the very illegal act of Racial Profiling during traffic stops.

What is needed is that the federal government be required to keep statistics on Political Profiling and report them publicly, just as the federal government requires the states to report racial profiling at traffic stops.